



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/681,896	06/21/2001	Ignacio Larrain	112843-25	2983
24573 75	73 7590 10/06/2003		EXAMINER	
BELL, BOYD & LLOYD, LLC			DESANTO, MATTHEW F	
PO BOX 1135 CHICAGO, IL	60690-1135		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3763	
			DATE MAILED: 10/06/2003	18

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	- Le
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/681,896 LARRAIN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Matthew F DeSanto	3763
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	I36(a). In no event, however, may a repl by within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH be, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 60) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	<u>September 2003</u> .	
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1,2,7,8 and 14-20 is/are pending in t 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	will from consideration.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,7,8 and 14-20</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement	·
Application Papers	or cicouoti requirement.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	•
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	pted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ disa	approved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action.	•
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.	·
2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in App	lication No
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest		•
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application has bee	n received.
Attachment(s)	, , ,	•
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/681,896

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 1. Claims 1 2, 7, 14, 17, 19 and 20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Richmond (USPN 4946448).

Richmond discloses a valve system (12) with an inlet (34), and outlet (34), a flexible membrane (84), which deforms under pressure, and has a perforation (86) for fluid to pass through, (Figures 2 and 3, and entire reference), and has a cracking pressure of .10 bar to .20 bar. (Column 6, lines 47-55), and wherein the valve includes a piston having a mushroom shaped head. (84).

As to claims 7, 14, and 17, wherein the valve is in communication with a pump and administers fluid to a patient. (Column 1, lines 48-65).

2. Claims 1,2,7,19, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Guala (USPN 6,409,707).

Guala discloses a valve system (1) with an inlet (4), and outlet (5), a flexible membrane (8), which deforms under pressure, (Figures 2 and 5, and entire reference),

Application/Control Number: 09/681,896

Art Unit: 3763

and has a cracking pressure of .10 bar to .20 bar. (Column 4, lines 43-46), and wherein the valve includes a piston having a mushroom shaped head (8).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-2, 7, 8 and 14-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Richmond as applied to claims 1 2, 7, 14, 17, 19 and 20 above, and further in view of Cordner, Jr. et al. (5244463) and Minshall et al. (5009654).

Richmond disclosed the claimed invention with a pump and a fluid being administered to a patient but failed to disclose the specific pump used and the specific fluid that will be passed through the valve.

Since Richmond disclosed that any pump and any fluid can be used in accordance with the valve, it would have been obvious to combine the references since it is well known in the art to use a rotary peristaltic pump with a check valve such as shown with Minshall et al. (Column 5, line 13-26) and using a pump with a check valve when delivering nutrition to a patient, such as shown with Cordner, Jr. et al. (Column 1, lines 54-64, and entire reference).

Therefore it would have been obvious to combine Richmond with Cordner, Jr. et al. and Minshall et al. to obtain the invention as specified in claims 1, 2, 7, 8, and 14-20.

Application/Control Number: 09/681,896

Art Unit: 3763

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 9/24/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argues that Richmond does not teach a valve with a piston member with a mushroom shaped head, but the examiner disagrees. The applicant discusses structural limitations that are not in the claim but in the specification, such as a piston with a stem, as well as the mushroom shaped head. Since there is no mention of stem in the claim, the examiner feels that the applicant is reading limitations from the specification into the claim. Richmond has a piston with a mushroom shaped head. The piston is reference number 84, and since the piston is curved, the examiner interprets the curve of the piston to have a mushroom shaped head.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew F DeSanto whose telephone number is 1-703-305-3292. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 1-703-308-3552. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 1-703-872-9302 for regular communications and 1-703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Matthew DeSanto Art unit 3763 September 30, 2003

rimary Gaminer